DAC

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON DE 19805

COPY MAILED

JUN 2 9 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Amey et al.

Application No. 10/659,644

Filed: September 10, 2003

Attorney Docket No. PI1220USDIV

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed May 2, 2006.

The petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment is **Dismissed**.

Any request for reconsideration should be filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this decision in order to be considered timely. 37 CFR 1.181(f). This time period may <u>not</u> be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely submit the issue fee, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee (s) Due, which were mailed April 15, 2004. The Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee (s) Due set a three (3) month period for reply. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on July 16, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on August 17, 2004.

Petitioner asserts that the Notice of Allowability mailed April 2, 2004 was never received. In support, petitioner has provided a copy of the docket record where the Notice of Allowance would have been entered had the Notice been received.

A review of the record indicates no irregularity in the mailing of the Notice, and in the absence of any irregularity in the mailing, there is a strong presumption that the Notice was properly mailed to the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Notice was not in fact received. The showing required to establish the failure to receive an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office

communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement.<sup>1</sup> The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Notice may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Notice was lost in the mail.

Petitioner's evidence has been considered but deemed as unpersuasive. A review of the record shows the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due was mailed to the correspondence address of record. The correspondence address of record continues to be the following:

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON DE 19805.

A review of the petition shows that the address provided on the petition differs from the correspondence address of record. The petition address is listed as follows:

Invista North America S.A. R.L 4417 Lancaster Pike Legal-Bldg. 722/WR1032 Wilmington, DE 19850.

As such the record is not clear that the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) due was not received due to a failure to change the correspondence address of record. The address differs enough that it is conceivable that correspondence addressed with the correspondence address of record would not be delivered. Where an application becomes abandoned as a consequence of a change of correspondence address (the Office action being mailed to the old, uncorrected address and failing to reach the applicant in sufficient time to permit a timely reply) an adequate showing of "unavoidable" delay will require a showing that due care was taken to adhere to the requirement for prompt notification in each concerned application of the change of address (see MPEP § 601.03), and must include an adequate showing that a timely notification of the change of address was filed in the application concerned, and in a manner reasonably calculated to call attention to the fact that it was a notification of a change of address. The lack of notification, or belated notification, to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of the change in correspondence address does not constitute unavoidable delay. See MPEP 711.03(c). Nor would the failure to promptly change the correspondence allow for withdrawing the holding of abandonment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c); <u>See</u> Notice entitled "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 O.G. 53 (November 16, 1993).

The Office requires the docket records of E I du Pont De Nemours and Company. To the extent E I du Pont De Nemours and Company and Invista North America S.A. R.L are the same entity and maintain the same docket records a statement and evidence to the effect should be provided.

Petitioner authorized the charging of the issue and publication fees. However, the fees could not be charged because the credit card submitted was expired.

Further it is noted, there is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the aboveidentified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

In the alternative, petitioner may wish to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.137.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(571) 273-8300

By delivery service:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

(FedEx, UPS, DHL, etc.)

Customer Service Window,

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3215.

Charlema R. Grant

**Petitions Attorney** 

Office of Petitions

CC:

Invista North America S.A. R.L.

lun C. Hrand

4417 Lancaster Pike Legal-Bidg. 722/WR1032 Wilmington, DE 19850

Organization Bldg./Room UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450
If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

USPTOMANLOENTER LISTER S.A. R.L.

ALLED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

12

12 1A 004205065

> Invista North America S.A. R.L 4417 Lancaster Pike

Legal Bldg. 722/WR1032 Wilwington, DE 19850

Sender

PTED NOT KNOWN CH NUMBER/ STREET ELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED

C OTHER SENDER

The same of the sa